

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•	
10/696,690 10/29/2003		Karl Lubitz	071308.0485	5269	•		
31625 7590 07/09/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER			
BAKER	BOTTS L.L	∠.P.	DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M				
PATENT	DEPARTME	ENT					
98 SAN J	ACINTO BL	VD., SUITE 1500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	TX 78701-	•	2834		٠		

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/696,690	LUBITZ ET AL.					
Office Action Su	mmary	Examin r	Art Unit	1				
		Thomas M. Dougherty	2834	Au				
The MAILING DATE of t Period for Reply	his communication app	ears nth c ver sheet with the c	orresp ndence ad	Idress				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above. - Failure to reply within the set or extende	der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a reply, the maximum statutory period wild period for reply will, by statute, an three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•						
1) Responsive to communi	ication(s) filed on 07 M	av 2004						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.						
()	, 	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above claim(s 5) Claim(s) is/are al 6) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejec 7) Claim(s) is/are of	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Application Papers								
Applicant may not request Replacement drawing shee	29 October 2003 is/are: that any objection to the det(s) including the correcti	r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj aminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drafts Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1003. 	wing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite) -152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Under In re Hammack, 166 USPQ 204 (CCPA) 1970) and In re Moore, 169 USPQ 236 (CCPA 1971), claims must be analyzed to determine their metes and bounds so that it is clear from the Icaim language what subject matter the claims encompass. This analysis must be performed in light of the applicable prior art and the disclosure. The definiteness of the claims is important to allow others who wish to enter the market place to ascertain the boundaries of protection that are provided by the claims. Ex parte Kristensen, 10 USPQ 2d 1701, 1703 (PTO Bd. Pat. App. & Intf. 1989). Use of a narrower range within a broader range in the same claim renders the claim indefinite since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. One could not tell from such a claim if the narrower range or limitation is a restriction of limitation on the broader range or limitation. Examples of specific claim language which have been held to be indefinite are:

1) "or the like" – Ex parte Caldwell, 1906 CD 58 (Commr Pats 1905) "coke, or brick or like material" held to be indifinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,690 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

2) "such as ..." – Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948).

3) "for example, ..."- Ex parte Lasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949).

In view of the above, claims should be rejected as being vague and indefinite under 35 USC. 112, second paragraph, which recite a broad range or limitation followed by linking terms (e.g., preferably, maybe, such as, for example, for instance, **especially**) and a narrow range or limitation within the broad range or limitation. The use of these exemplified terms is not indefinite per se, but the use of these terms to link broad and narrow ranges or limitations renders the claim indefinite. The applicants thus employ "especially for a piezoelectric component" in claim 1, which renders the claims indefinite for the reasons cited above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heinz (WO 00/63980). Heinz shows (fig. 1) an additional contacting for an electrical component (1), especially for piezoelectric component (1) in the form of a multilayer structure, comprising more than one connecting element (6) for connecting the electrical component (1) with an electrical connection element (7), wherein the additional contacting (5) is embodied as a single, structured component.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,690

Art Unit: 2834

The additional contacting (5) is embodied as a single, structured foil.

Each connecting element (6) is connected to a single, shared current conductor track (10).

The current conductor track (10) has a greater width compared to each connecting element (6).

The width of a current conductor track (10) changing over the length of the current conductor track (10).

The structured component comprises a contacting zone (edges of 2a) in which an electrical access element (2a) can be located.

The additional contacting (10) is surrounded in at least some areas by a passivation material, see 9 in figure 4 which is an elastomer.

Claims 1, 2 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okamoto (US 6,104,129). Okamoto shows shows (figs. 3, 5A, 5B) an additional contacting for an electrical component (20), especially for piezoelectric component (20) in the form of a multilayer structure, comprising more than one connecting element (27c) for connecting the electrical component (20) with an electrical connection element (understood or else the device cannot do any useful work), wherein the additional contacting (27c) is embodied as a single, structured component.

The additional contacting (27) is embodied as a single, structured foil.

The structured component comprises a contacting zone (edges of 22a, 22b) in which an electrical access element (22a, 22b) can be located.

Art Unit: 2834

The additional contacting (27) is formed in at least some areas from a material with higher electrical conductivity.

The additional contacting (27) is formed from at least one material from the group Cu, Cu alloy, Fe, steel, Ni basic alloy, Co basic alloy. See column 4, lines 29 to 35.

The additional contacting (27) is surrounded in at least some areas by a passivation material (23).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining prior art cited reads on some aspects of the claimed invention.

Direct inquiry concerning this action to Examiner Dougherty at (571) 272-2022.

June 15, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINED